

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/681,159                | 02/02/2001                  | Lawrence G. Clawson  | 1955 P063              | 7795             |
| 26851                     | 51 7590 07/07/2005          |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| WALLENSTEIN WAGNER & RO   |                             | OCKEY, LTD.          | WACHTEL, ALEXIS A      |                  |
| 311 S. WACK<br>53RD FLOOI | S. WACKER DRIVE<br>RD FLOOR |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CHICAGO, I                | IL 60606-6630               |                      | 1764                   |                  |
|                           |                             |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/07/200 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | 18   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | ,   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 0.00  | 09/681,159  | CLAWSON ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Alexis Wachtel  | 1764   |  |  |  |  |
| Pei   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>riod for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | e correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
|   | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro<br>, cause the application to become ABANDO | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Sta   | atus  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe  | ebruary 2001.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | <u> </u>  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dis   | sposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-61</u> is/are pending in the application   | n.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | wn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-61</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Αp  | plication Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce   | e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | ce Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Pri   | ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applica<br>ity documents have been recei   | ation No   |  |  |  |  |
|   | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | of the certified copies not recei-  | ved.   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | chment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summa  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) [2   | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-7-01.  | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:   | Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | , —,  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1764

## **Detailed Action**

Page 2

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 45-61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-11 of U. S. Patent No. 6254839 to Clawson et al since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 1 of US 6254839 is broader in scope than claim 45 of this instant application. In particular, the instant application recites a first tube including a first catalyst whereas US 6254839 recites a first tube without mentioning the use of a catalyst. US 6254839 does not exclude the possibility of employing a catalyst in the first tube and is therefore broader in scope than claim 45 of the instant invention. Additionally, the instant application recites a third tube surrounding

Application/Control Number: 09/681,159

Art Unit: 1764

a first and second tube. US 6254839 recites a catalytic reforming zone situated around the second tube thereby defining a third tube.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel whose telephone number is 571-272-1455. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am to 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola, can be reached at (571)-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Page 3